



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,610	10/31/2003	Marc Gandar	M2006-700010	9895	
37462	7590	02/03/2009			
LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142				EXAMINER TIV, BACKHEAN	
				ART UNIT 2451 PAPER NUMBER	
				NOTIFICATION DATE 02/03/2009 DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@ll-a.com
gengelson@ll-a.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,610	GANDAR, MARC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BACKHEAN TIV	2451	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BACKHEAN TIV. (3) ROBERT SKRIVANEK (REG#41,316).
 (2) JOHN FOLLANSBEE. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Saito, Osakabe.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment, First Alternative claim 1, overcomes the 112 2nd rejection. Concerning the prior art, it appears that the proposed amendment overcomes the art, however further search and/or consideration is necessary, the applicant is recommended to submit the proposed amendment(First Alternative) and arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451
--	---